

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box: 450 Alexandra, Vigonia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/982,312	10/17/2001	Mark Peterson	IFC 30-1	6602
7	590 09/16/2003			
Kolisch, Hartwell, Dickinson, McCormack & Heuser 200 Pacific Building			EXAMINER	
			CRUZ, MAGDA	
520 S.W. Yamhill Street Portland, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2851	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany		09/982,312	PETERSON ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Magda Cruz	2851			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	une 2003 .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	\boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-17,19-22 and 24-29</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,10-16,21,22 and 24-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>6-9,17,19 and 20</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for demostic priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 110(a) (to a provisional application)						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6/3</u>	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/30/2003 has being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. In claim 9, the phrase "wherein the image source is positioned outside of the casing" renders the claim to be indefinite. By definition, a rear projection "uses a translucent screen onto which an image is projected from the back side" (Encyclopedia of Photography, Third Edition, 1993, pp. 685-686); therefore, the claim language is indefinite. The applicant is respectfully requested to clarify said phase.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

Art Unit: 2851

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi, et al.

Takahashi, et al. (US Patent Number 4,729,631) discloses a rear projection display system (Figure 16B) comprising an image source (P), a rear reflective surface (M), a screen (S) including a lens array (column 7, lines 25-26) and a mirror (M) array positioned adjacent the lens array (see Figures 16A-16C), wherein the lens array a plurality of lenses (Figure 13) configured to direct light incident on the screen (S) from a first angle onto the mirror array to be reflected toward the rear reflective surface, and wherein the screen is configured to direct incident light from a second angle through the mirror array for display to a viewer (column 7, lines 34-45; Figures 16B and 16C).

Furthermore, Takahashi, et al. teaches a screen (S) including an internal reflection element (Figure 4) configured to internally reflect light incident on the screen (S) from a first angle (θ_1) toward the rear reflective surface, and to transmit incident light from a second angle (θ_2) through the screen (S), wherein the internal reflection elements includes a plurality of prism elements (1) having a generally pyramidal cross-sectional shape (Figure 9). The video system includes a cabinet (column 1, lines 5-6) and the screen (S) includes a flat display portion (i.e. substrate), wherein the internal reflection element includes a material of a higher index of refraction than the flat display portion filling the cabinet adjacent the flat display portion of the screen (column 7, lines 31-35).

Art Unit: 2851

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 10-16, 21-22 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi, et al. in view of Kato et al.

Takahashi, et al. (US Patent Number 4,729,631) teaches the salient features of the present invention, except a plurality of angularly discriminating reflective elements configured to reflect light, having a dark color for improved contrast, wherein the screen includes a plurality of vertical pixels; and wherein said screen is configured to reflect light incident on the screen. However, Takahashi, et al. discloses prisms (1; i.e. reflection element) coaxially extended in the horizontal direction on the screen.

Kato et al. (US Patent Number 4,066,332) discloses a plurality of angularly discriminating reflective elements (5) configured to reflect light, having a dark color for improved contrast (column 1, lines 54-58), wherein the screen includes a plurality of vertical pixels (column 5, lines 47-50); and wherein said screen is configured to reflect light incident on the screen (column 2, lines 3-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the plurality of angularly discriminating reflective

Art Unit: 2851

elements disclosed by Kato et al. in substitution of the reflection element disclosed by Takahashi, et al.'s invention, for the purpose of having an luminance range sufficient to faithfully reproduce the density range of a projected image (column 1, lines 11-13).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 29 is allowed.
- 9. Claims 6-9, 17 and 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-4, 10, 16, 21-22 and 24-27 are have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 11. Applicant's arguments regarding claims 1-2, 11-15 and 28 filed 6/30/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant has argued that the prior art does not teach the "a plurality of angularly discriminating reflective elements configured to reflect light incident on the screen" and "a screen including a mirror array and a lens array". However, Kato et al. teaches such plurality of angularly discriminating reflective elements (5) configured to reflect light incident on the screen, and Takahashi, et al. discloses a screen (S) including a mirror array (M) and a lens array (column 7, lines 25-26).

Art Unit: 2851

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Magda Cruz whose telephone number is (703)308-6367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8:00-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on (703)308-2847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1782.

Magda Cruz Patent Examiner September 9, 2003 SUPERVISOR